### Is SEACFMD **really** free from Asia 1? Evaluating the sensitivity of passive surveillance for FMD

Masako Wada, Jun Hee Han, Art Subharat

Bolortuya Purevsuren, Karma Rinzin, Ashish Sutar, Ronello Abila

EpiCentre, Massey University, New Zealand

Sub-Regional Representation for South-East Asia, WOAH, Thailand





World Organisation for Animal Health Founded in 1924

# Background

- No report of Asia 1 in SEACFMD since 2017
  - Historical spread of Asia 1 (Jara, 2019)
- Low reporting rate of passive surveillance
  - 0 40% (Vergne 2012; Han 2021)
- Is SEACFMD currently free from Asia 1?
- Can disease freedom be claimed by passive surveillance alone?



# Methods - data

- Laboratory data
  - WOAH/FAO FMD Reference Laboratory Network
  - Number of samples tested
  - Number of samples positive to Asia 1

- Online survey
  - From 9 countries
  - EpiNet, LabNetwork, Upper Mekong Working Group
  - Farmers behaviour, vaccination practice, veterinary service, diagnostic capacity



### Methods – scenario tree model



## Expert knowledge

- Respondents: median 2 (1-7) per country
- Vaccination (\*)
- Passive surveillance (\*\*)



# Sample Asia 1 positivity



### The posterior probabilities of Asia 1 positive



## Result

### Country sensitivity





### Probability of freedom (1 year of no report)





Probability of freedom

#### Cina Manner Virt NB La Negote Tanta Schools Trans Tran

### Parameter uncertainty

#### Cambodia China Malaysia Mongolia N samples per village -\* N villages tested -\* \* P farmer detection P farmer contact P vet visit P sampling P testing Vaccination coverage -P Asia 1 vaccine -0.00 0.25 0.50 Myanmar Thailand Vietnam N samples per village -\* N villages tested -P farmer detection Number of samples per village (Mongolia) 1. P farmer contact -Number of villages tested (Mongolia) 2. P vet visit 3. Probability of farmer detection (China) P sampling P testing Vaccination coverage -P Asia 1 vaccine 0.25 0.25 0.50 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.00 0.50 0.00

### Key parameters that influence the estimation of regional surveillance sensitivity for Asia1

Parameter influence on the region sensitivity (PRCC)

### Interactive tool

### https://shiny.massey.ac.nz/mwada/asia1/

#### ← → C 😁 shiny.massey.ac.nz/mwada/asia1/

















C 25 shiny.massey.ac.nz/mwada/asia1/





## Discussions

- Passive surveillance alone would not be sufficient to claim Asia 1 freedom in SEACFMD
  - Limited surveillance probability (21%)
  - Limited confidence of freedom (56%)
  - Unknown probability of introduction
- Key areas of uncertainty: parameters in China/Mongolia
- Active surveillance could be incorporated in future study

### Summary

• Scenario tree models can be used for understanding the effectiveness of the existing surveillance and identifying key areas for enhancement



# Acknowledgement

- Funding:
  - Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, China
- Laboratory data:
  - Pirbright
  - Pakchong
- Survey participants:
  - SEACFMD National Coordinators
  - EpiNet focal points
  - LabNetwork focal points
  - Upper Mekong Working Group Member







# Thank you

• Any questions?

m.wada@massey.ac.nz

To participate in the survey

