Monitoring Animal Health and Welfare

of Australian Livestock Exported by Sea

E Dunston-Clarke, T Fleming, A Barnes, D Miller, Renee Willis*

Teresa Collins

Sep 29, 2023 Japan

Assoc Professor Animal Welfare Science









Outline

Background

Study Aims

Methods

Results

Application to WOAH











Australia exports ~ 2 million cattle and sheep by sea each year.



Aus Standards Export of Livestock (ASELv2.3) and AMSA MO p43

- Improve regulatory oversight
- International cooperation



World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE An evidence-based welfare monitoring protocol is needed to demonstrate the animal perspective

Welfare monitoring for the Australian livestock export industry







<u>Whole of supply chain approach to</u> monitoring





Understanding animal welfare

...'the physical and mental state of an animal in relation to the conditions in which it lives and dies'...



World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE

Why is it important?

- Social Licence
- Responsibility and transparency for all
 - Identifying sustainable practices



Less than 40% of Members in Europe cooperate with the country of destination before, during or after the journey.

Benefits to welfare monitoring

- Understand the welfare outcomes of journeys
- Allows proactive rather than reactive change
- Improve risk mitigation
- Benchmarking of performance
- Transparency
- Regulatory benefits
- BUT its complex!





World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE



Methods: Identifying welfare measures



Welfare indicators must be:

- feasible
- valid
- reliable

Animal Outcomes:

- Mortality
- Health
- Behaviour (Activity and Demeanour)





Five Domains	Welfare	Welfare criteria	
	principles		
1. Nutrition	Good	1	Absence of prolonged hunger
	feeding	2	Absence of prolonged thirst
2. Environment	Good	3	Comfort around resting
	housing	4	Thermal comfort
		5	Ease of movement
3. Health Good health		6	Absence of injuries
		7	Absence of disease
		8	Absence of pain induced by management procedures
4. Behaviour	Appropriate	9	Expression of social behaviours
	behaviour	10	Expression of other behaviours
		11	Good human-animal relationship
5. Mental state		12	Positive emotional state
World Organis	sation		Assessment protocol for cattle



Welfare Quality

Pen Side Assessments

MU

- Select representative pens to sample
- Record the measures onto a digital data platform
- ? How many pens to sample? How frequent? Time of day?
- ? Effect of breed and deck location?

Close 1) WI Project - Catt	
Assessment Details	-
Date & Time	
8 August 2019 11:34 am AEST	6
Location	
	>
Group ID	
Enter	
Behaviour (Position)	+
Behaviour (Activities)	+
Behaviour (Qualitative)	+
Health - Stress/Disease	
Feed and Water	+
Environmental Measures	+
leat Stress Indicators	+
Save Transfer	Draft













- 7 consignments (x4 to South-East Asia, x3 to Middle East)
 - On-farm, at feedlot, on vessel, destination trader pens
 - All measures taken as pen-side observations



C. Livestock details

Measure	Frequency	Collection Point	Societal Concern
Body Condition Score	Once at beginning and at end of supply chain	On-farm OR pre-export registered establishment AND once at destination facility	Animal care, Quality of Life
Species and breed	Once per consignment	On entry to the supply chain	Animal comfort, animal care, Quality of Life

D. Animal Behaviour

Measure	Frequency	Collection Point	Societal Concern
Reactivity Index	On entry and exit at each sector	On-farm, pre-export registered establishment, vessel and destination facilities	Animal care
Posture	Twice daily on elected	Pre-export registered establishment, vessel and	Animal comfort, Quality
Resting	days	destination facilities	of Life
Ruminating			
Negative engagement			
Positive engagement			
Demeanour (9 qualitative terms)			
Panting score			

E. Animal health

Measure	Frequency	Collection Point	Societal Concern
Nasal discharge	Once daily on elected	On-farm, pre-export registered	Positive health,
Ocular discharge	days	establishment, vessel and destination facilities	animal care
Pink eye			
Coat/fleece contamination			

F. Resource access

Measure	Frequency	Collection Point	Societal Concern
Roughage availability	Once daily on elected days	Pre-export registered establishment, vessel and destination facilities	Animal care, Quality of Life

G. Pen environment

Measure	Frequency	Collection Point	Societal Concern
Manure pad moisture /depth	Once daily on elected days	Pre-export registered establishment, vessel and destination facilities	Animal care, animal comfort, Quality of Life

Results: Health Outcomes



Sheep (Australia – Oman)

Total of **1047** sheep over two sea voyages

Condition	Cases	Prevalence
Scabby mouth	40	3.8%
Pink eye	37	3.5%
Illthrift:	6	0.6%
Wounds	4	0.4%
Heat Stress	4	0.4%

4 x sheep removed for treatment 2 x mortalities

Cattle (Australia – Israel)

Total of **826** cattle over two sea voyages

Condition	Cases	Prevalence
Lameness	18	2.2%
Bloat	16	1.9%
Ocular lesions	12	1.5%
Illthrift	10	1.2%
Wounds	5	0.6%

18 x cattle removed for treatment3 x Mortalities







- Principal Component (PC) analysis used to combine data for animal behavioural outcomes
- PC Behaviour & health measures tested against environmental conditions and resources using Generalised Linear Mixed Model (GLMM) & Tukey's
- Test differences in animal outcomes by
 - Voyage day
 - Time of day
 - Type of livestock
 - Pen location on board the vessel



Results: PC 1 (Activity and Rest)

Alert

Active

Anxious

Eating (%)

Lethargic

Settled

Drinking (%)

Ruminating (%)

Uncomfortable

Recumbent (%)

Resting (%)

Flight Distance (m)

Antagonistic Behaviour (%)

Exploring Environment (%)

Feeding Behaviour Score

Panting Score 2 or Above (%)

Inquisitive





-1.0 -0.8 -0.6 -0.4 -0.2 0.0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0

Sheep (Fremantle – Oman)

Cattle (Fremantle – Israel)

Results: PC 2 (Heat responses)

Sheep (Fremantle – Oman)

Cattle (Fremantle – Israel)

Results: Frequency of assessments (voyage day)

Cattle (Fremantle, Aus. – Eilat/Haifa, Israel)

Results: Frequency of assessments (time of day)

Sheep (Australia – Oman)

Responses to Environment and Resources

GLMM comparisons for cattle voyages (Australia – Israel)

	PC 1 (Activity and Rest)	PC 2 (Heat Responses)
Wet Bulb Globe Temp. (°C)	0.10	21.72***
Feed consumption (% BW/head/day)	9.08**	15.97***
Roughage access	0.00	12.75***
Water availability (hours)	35.41***	7.86**
Manure pad moisture	13.97**	14.36***
Manure pad depth	34.13**	0.49

F Values with significant variations highlighted in bold (* = p < 0.05, ** = p < 0.01, *** = p < 0.001)

World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE

Industry application

- Data <u>on each day</u> required to depict responses to changing environments
- Data <u>at more than one time point</u> required to show activity / resting and daily heat load/ respite
- Outcomes varied <u>between lines of livestock (data not shown)</u>
- Recommend that behaviour should be recorded by industry, as were applicable across supply chain
- Consider Staff training and reporting tool to standardise data

References

- Fleming, P.A., S.L. Wickham, E.J. Dunston-Clarke, R.S. Willis, A.L. Barnes, D.M. Miller, and T. Collins. (2020). Review of Livestock Welfare Indicators Relevant for the Australian Live Export Industry. Animals 10: 1236
- Dunston-Clarke, E., Willis, R. S., Fleming, P. A., Barnes, A. L., Miller, D. W., & Collins, T. (2020). Developing an animal welfare assessment protocol for livestock transported by sea. Animals, 10(4), 705.
- Willis, R.S., Fleming, P.A., Dunston-Clarke, E.J., Barnes, A.L., Miller, D.W., Collins, T. (2021). Animal welfare indicators for sheep during sea transport: monitoring health and behaviour. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.*, 105354. doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105354
- Willis, R.S., Fleming, P.A., Dunston-Clarke, E.J., Barnes, A.L., Miller, D.W., Collins, T. (2021). Animal welfare indicators for sheep during sea transport: The effect of voyage day and time of day. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci., 238*. doi:10.1016/j.applanim.2021.105304
- Willis, R.S., Dunston-Clarke, E.J., Keating, L.R., Fleming, P.A., Collins, T. (2021). Australian Livestock Export Industry Workers' Attitudes toward Animal Welfare. *Animals (Basel)*, 11(5), 1411.

World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE

Acknowledgements:

- Staff from export companies, shipping companies and overseas facilities
- MLA Livecorp, Aus government
- Murdoch research team

- Address
- College of Environmental and Life Sciences
- Centre for Animal Production and Health, Food Futures Institute,
- Murdoch University, Murdoch, WA 6150 Australia
- T.Collins@murdoch.edu.au
- <u>http://profiles.murdoch.edu.au/myprofile/teresa-</u> <u>collins/murdoch.edu.au</u>

World Organisation for Animal Health Founded as OIE

Thank you!

