

Group 4 Output - Group Work Session to assess M&E Indicators for SEACFMD Roadmap 2021 2025

Key Questions:

- Is the identified M&E Indicators relevant to measure the progress of SEACFMD Campaign?
- How to measure these indicators?
- How to enhance collection of data/ information for the M&E Indicators?
 - o Facilitator: Ronello Abila
 - o Rapporteur: Christine Lee

| Objective/ Outcome/ Outputs | Indicator | Relevancy | How to measure? | Data collection |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------------------|
| Outcome 3: Improved regional coordination. | I.14 Number of key decisions/ initiatives implemented to enhance FMD and other related TADs control through various regional collaboration and coordination I.14a Number of SEACFMD-agreed recommendations from regional coordination meetings are translated/adopted to national plans | Relevant. Setting of specific target for recommendations implemented? Difficulty of capturing qualitative data (e.g. level of transparency in meetings) | Instead of number, to measure %. Member questionnaires, surveys on national implementation plans | SEACFMD Coordination Meetings |
| Output 3.1 ASEAN support reaffirmed, including allocation of appropriate resources | I.15 Endorsement of the SEACFMD Roadmap by the ASEAN SOM-AMAF. I.16 Members use this roadmap / succeed in using the roadmap to secure fund commitment from their respective Govt. | Relevant. Relevant. | Meeting reports, Country reports | SEACFMD Coordination Meetings |

| | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| <p>Output 3.2 Regional coordination platforms effectively functioning.</p> | <p>I.17 Actionable decisions and recommendations agreed at SEACFMD coordination meetings (Sub Commission, National Coordinators, LabNet and EpiNet), and that were implemented annually.</p> <p>I.17a Regularity of SEACFMD coordination meetings conducted</p> <p>I.17b Active and sustainable SEACFMD Platform (e.g. for sharing of information, data resources)</p> | <p>Relevant. How to measure if regional platforms are providing value/benefit to member countries?</p> <p>Relevant.</p> <p>Relevant to promote information sharing and collaboration outside of SEACFMD meetings</p> | <p>Instead of number, to measure %.</p> <p>At least 1 SEACFMD Sub Comm, NC, LabNet and EpiNet meeting every year. Meeting reports, Country reports</p> <p>Regular member questionnaires/surveys of benefit, improvement of data-sharing platform.</p> <p>Level of usage/visitation of SEACFMD Platform for data-sharing.</p> | <p>SEACFMD Coordination Meetings</p> |
| <p>Output 3.3 Active collaboration established and maintained with key partners for the prevention and control of FMD and other related TADs.</p> | <p>I.18 Number of joint or collaborative activities implemented with partners to enhance FMD and TADs control</p> | <p>Relevant. Joint sharing of examples of bilateral activities relevant to FMD (e.g. for specific regions, Indonesia – Timor Leste joint surveillance).</p> | <p>Meeting reports, Country reports, Research presentations</p> | <p>SEACFMD Coordination Meetings</p> |

| | | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|-------------------------------|
| | I.18a Number of studies/research or training and capacity building involving species related to FMD | | | |
| Output 3.4 Cooperation with non-SEACFMD members established. | <p>I.19 Number of non- SEACFMD members who participate in the SEACFMD sub-commission annual meetings.</p> <p>I.19a Number of joint or collaborative activities/studies/research or training and capacity building implemented with non-SEACFMD members (i.e. South Asia) (based on trans-pool movement)</p> | Relevant. | Meeting reports, Country reports, Research presentations | SEACFMD Coordination Meetings |