Selection of suitable ORV strains and baits as potential candidates

WOAH Information Session on Oral Rabies Vaccines

Friday, 28 October 2022, 3 PM – 6 PM Japan Time (GMT+9)

Dr Gowri Yale

Technical Manager Mission Rabies





20

cos

1

57

TECHNICAL SUPPORT

• Maximise impact of other organisations

Pres

Con Con

 Provide training, tools & technical support



··· · ·



2013

September: Inauguration • October: Pilot vaccination – 5000 dogs •

2014

- Education program developed
- 24/7 Hotline established
- Mission Rabies App developed
- Vaccinated: 24,306 dogs

2015

2017

- September: MoU with AH&VS
 - Vaccinated: 56,681 dogs •
- Rabies added to State curriculum •

Human rabies testing initiated• AH&VS Necropsy Room built •

2016 • Established FAT at DIU

• Vaccinated: 51,294 dogs

- Vaccinated: 97,368 dogs
- Rabies virus genetic sequencing
- MoU with AH&VS renewed

2020

- MH-Goa Border Dog movement Evaluation
- Vaccinated: 82,012 dogs •
- Rabies chapter in std 6th text book

2022

- Progress with ORV pilot plan
- No human rabies from Sept 2017 > 5 years
- One canine rabies case for > 1 year •





2019

Vaccinated: 96,178 dogs •

٠

State wide IBCM with DHS •

2021

Rabies Controlled Area • Static point vaccination pilot • Vaccinated: 75,917 dogs • Landfill for canine carcass disposal • MoU renewed with exit strategy •

Vaccinated: 96, 033 dogs • 2018

Started Hand catching method • ORV bait trial studies ٠



ARTICLE

COMMUNICATIONS

Check for updates

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-30371-y OPEN

Elimination of human rabies in Goa, India through an integrated One Health approach

A. D. Gibson ^(1,2,13⊠), G. Yale^{3,13}, J. Corfmat^{3,13}, M. Appupillai^{3,13}, C. M. Gigante⁴, M. Lopes⁵, U Betodkar⁶, N. C. Costa⁴, K. A. Fernandes⁷, P. Mathapati³, P. M. Suryawanshi⁶, N. Otter^{3,7}, G. Thomas¹, P. Ohal³, I. Airikkala-Otter⁷, F. Lohr ⁽¹⁾, C. E. Rupprecht⁸, A. King⁹, D. Sutton¹⁰, I. Deuzeman⁹, Y. Li[®], R. M. Wallace⁴, R. S. Mani¹¹, G. Gongal¹², I. G. Handel², M. Bronsvoort ⁽²⁾, V. Naik⁵, S. Desai⁵, ⁴, S. Mazeri^{1,2,14}, L. Gamble^{1,14} & R. J. Mellanby^{2,14}

Dog-mediated rabies kills tens of thousands of people each year in India, representing one third of the estimated global rabies burden. Whilst the World Health Organization (WHO), World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) and the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) have set a target for global dog-mediated human rabies elimination by 2030, examples of large-scale dog vaccination programs demonstrating elimination remain limited in Africa and Asia. We describe the development of a data-driven rabies elimination program from 2013 to 2019 in Goa State, India, culminating in human rabies elimination and a 92% reduction in monthly canine rabies cases. Smartphone technology enabled systematic spatial direction of remote teams to vaccinate over 95,000 dogs at 70% vaccination coverage, and rabies education teams to reach 150,000 children annually. An estimated 2249 disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) were averted over the program period at 526 USD per DALY, making the intervention 'very cost-effective' by WHO definitions. This One Health program demonstrates that human rabies elimination is achievable at the state level in India.



DAH&VS

DHS















Questions about ORV



Which vaccine?



Which bait?

Cost effective?

Which vaccine?

List of currently available ORVs.

Vaccine strain	Product name or brand name	Formula- tion	nula- Vial size Company		Country
SPBN- GASGAS	IDT Biologika	RABV	3rd	Reverse genetics with site-directed mutagenesis	Licensed for wildlife
ERA G333	Prokov	RABV	3rd	Reverse genetics with site-directed mutagenesis	Licensed for wildlife
SAG2*	Virbac	RABV	2nd	Monoclonal selection mutant	Licensed for wildlife
SAD B19	IDT Biologika	RABV	1st	Serial (passaged in vivo/in vitro)	Licensed for wildlife
SAD Bern	Bioveta	RABV	1st	Serial (passaged in vivo/ in vitro)	Licensed for wildlife
RB-97	FGBI "ARRAIH"	RABV	1st	Serial (passaged in vivo/ in vitro)	Licensed for wildlife
VRC-RZ2	No information	RABV	1st	Serial (passaged in vivo/ in vitro)	No information
KMIEV-94	No information	RABV	1st	Serial (passaged in vivo/ in vitro)	No information
V-RG*	Merial	Vaccinia virus		Recombinant, expressing rabies glycoprotein	Licensed for wildlife
AdRG1.3	Artemis Technologies	Adenovirus		Recombinant, expressing rabies glycoprotein	Licensed for wildlife



WORKSHOP MATERIALS

Presentations and other materials related to this workshop are available at the OIE Regional

Representation website, https://rr-asia.oie.int/en/events/oie-virtual-workshop-on-oral-rabies-vaccines/

Table 1:	List	of OR'	Vs	piloted	in	dogs	in	Asia
----------	------	--------	----	---------	----	------	----	------

Туре	Vaccine Strain	Vaccine Name and Manufacturer	Species	Year In Use	Countries in which trial conducted	Reference
Modified Live (1st generation)	SAD B19	Fuchsoral, Ceva, France	Dog	2001	Philippines	Estrada et al., 2001
Modified Live (2 nd generation)	SAG 2	RABIGEN® Virbac, France	Dog	2007	India	Cliquet et al., 2007
Modified Live (3 rd generation)	SPBN GASGAS	Rabitec® Ceva, France	Dog	2020	Thailand	Leelahapongsathon et al., 2020
Vector–based (Vaccinia virus)	V-RG	Raboral V- RG® Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany	Dog	2000	Sri Lanka	Perera et al., 2000
Modified Live (3 rd generation)	SPBN GASGAS	Rabitec® Ceva, France	Dog	2022	Indonesia	Trial is ongoing

Table 1. List of ORV used in wildlife and trialed in dogs.

Туре	Vaccine Strain	Vaccine Name and Manufacturer			WILDLIFE				DOG	
			Species	Years In Use	Doses Distributed	Countries In Which Distribution Took Place	References	Year	Countries in Which Trials Have Taken Place	References
	SAD Bern	Lysvulpen, Bioveta, Czech Republic	Red Fox, racoon dog	1979-1980	211,000,000	Europe	[29]	1994	Tunisia	[75]
	SAD B19	Fuchsoral, Ceva, France	Red fox	1978-2014	268,000,000	Europe	[29]	2001	Philippines	[72]
Modified Live (1st generation)						-		1998	Turkey	[76]
	RV-97	Sinrab, FGBI ARRIAH, Russia	Racoon dogs	2002-current	4200,000	Kazakhstan, Ukraine, Belarus, Russia	[29,77]	-	-	-
	VRC-RZ2	Kazakhstan laboratory	Corsac fox, steppe wolf	2017	Laboratory	Kazakhstan	[78]	2017	Kazakhstan (laboratory)	[78]
	KMIEV-94	Institute of Experimental Veterinary, Belarus	Red fox	2009	10,300,000	Belarus	[29,79]	-	-	-
Modified Live	SAG 2	RABIGEN [®] Virbac, France	Red fox, raccoon dog			France, Switzerland,		2007	India	[81]
(2nd generation)				199-2012	28,000,000	Germany, Belgium	[29,80]	1998	Tunisia	[82]
						<i>y</i> 0		2012	Morocco	[68]
	SPBN GASGAS	Rabitec [®]	Red fox, raccoon	201-2019	Laboratory	Germany	[83]	2017	Haiti	[67]
Modified Live		Ceva, France	dog		· · · · ·			2020	Thailand	[84]
(Siu generation)	ERA G333	Prokov, Russia	Red fox, raccoon dog	2017	Laboratory	Russia	[85]	-	-	-
Vector-based (Vaccinia virus)	V-RG	Raboral V-RG® Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany	Raccoon, coyote, grey fox, red fox,	1987-2017	250,000,000	USA, Canada, France, Belgium, Luxembourg,	[35]	2000	Sri Lanka	[86]
,			raccoon dog			Korea		2005	USA (laboratory)	[47]
Vector-based	AdRG1.3	ONRAB®	Striped skunk, red	2007-2017	28,500,000	Canada, USA	[28,44]	2016	USA (laboratory)	[61]
(Adenovirus)		Artemis Technologies Inc., Canada	fox, raccoon					2007	China (laboratory)	[87]

Yale, Gowri, Marwin Lopes, Shrikrishna Isloor, Jennifer R. Head, Stella Mazeri, Luke Gamble, Kinzang Dukpa, Gyanendra Gongal, and Andrew D. Gibson. "Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India." *Viruses* 14, no. 1 (2022): 155.



Müller et al. Rabies Vaccines for Wildlife. In Rabies and Rabies Vaccines; Springer International Publishing, 2020, pp. 45–70. Yale et al. Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India. *Viruses* 14, no. 1 (2022): 155.

Table 1. List of ORV used in wildlife and trialed in dogs.

Туре	Vaccine Strain	Vaccine Name and Manufacturer			WILDLIFE				DOG	
			Species	Years In Use	Doses Distributed	Countries In Which Distribution Took Place	References	Year	Countries in Which Trials Have Taken Place	References
Modified Live (1st generation)	SAD Bern	Lysvulpen, Bioveta, Czech Republic	Red Fox, racoon dog	1979–1980	211,000,000	Europe	[29]	1994	Tunisia	[75]
	SAD B19	Fuchsoral, Ceva, France	Red fox	1978-2014	268,000,000	Europe	[29]	2001	Philippines	[72]
								1998	Turkey	[76]
	RV-97	Sinrab, FGBI ARRIAH, Russia	Racoon dogs	2002-current	4200,000	Kazakhstan, Ukraine, Belarus, Russia	[29,77]	-	-	-
	VRC-RZ2	Kazakhstan laboratory	Corsac fox, steppe wolf	2017	Laboratory	Laboratory Kazakhstan		2017	Kazakhstan (laboratory)	[78]
	KMIEV-94	Institute of Experimental Veterinary, Belarus	Red fox	2009	10,300,000	Belarus	[29,79]	-	-	-
Modified Live	SAG 2	RABIGEN® Virbac, France	Red fox, raccoon dog		28,000,000	France, Switzerland, Finland, Estonia, Italy, Germany, Belgium		2007	India	[81]
(2nd generation)				199-2012			[29,80]	1998	Tunisia	[82]
						7 8		2012	Morocco	[68]
	SPBN GASGAS	Rabitec [®]	Red fox, raccoon	201-2019	Laboratory	Germany	[83]	2017	Haiti	[67]
Modified Live	SI BIY GASGAS	Ceva, France	dog	201-2019	Laboratory		[00]	2020	Thailand	[84]
(3rd generation)	ERA G333	Prokov, Russia	Red fox, raccoon dog	2017	Laboratory	Russia	[85]	-	-	-
Vector-based (Vaccinia virus)	V-RG	Raboral V-RG® Boehringer Ingelheim, Germany	Raccoon, coyote, grey fox, red fox,	1987-2017	250,000,000	USA, Canada, France, Belgium, Luxembourg,	[35]	2000	Sri Lanka	[86]
(····································			raccoon dog			Korea		2005	USA (laboratory)	[47]
Vector-based	AdRG1.3	ONRAB [®]	Striped skunk, red	2007-2017	28,500,000	Canada, USA	[28.44]	2016	USA (laboratory)	[61]
(Adenovirus)		Artemis Technologies Inc., Canada	fox, raccoon				[/]	2007	China (laboratory)	[87]

Yale, Gowri, Marwin Lopes, Shrikrishna Isloor, Jennifer R. Head, Stella Mazeri, Luke Gamble, Kinzang Dukpa, Gyanendra Gongal, and Andrew D. Gibson. "Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India." *Viruses* 14, no. 1 (2022): 155.



ODIFICATION	CONSEQUENCE
cid codon c acid at position 333	Abolish residual pathogenicity
cid codon rine at position 194	Eliminate potential reversion to virulence
at each amino acid position	Reduce potential back-mutation to the original amino acid

Insertion of a second G-gene

Enhance safety measure

No.	Major Categories for Assessment of an Oral Rabies Vaccine Candidate		Modified Live Vaccines						Vector-Bas	ed Vaccines	
		SAD Berne	SAD B19	RV-97	VRC-RZ2	KMIEV-94	SAG 2	SPBN GASGAS	ERA G333	V-RG	AdRG1.3
1	Description of the manufacturer	[91]	[92]	[93]	-	-	[94]	[92]	-	[95]	-
2	Description of the vaccine construct	[96,97]	[98]	[77]	-	[79]	[99]	[100]	-	-	[101,102]
3	Is the vaccine safe for the target animal?	[75]	[103]	-	[78]	-	[82,104]	[67,105]	-	[47]	[106]
4	Has safety been assessed for potential non-target animals?	Jackals [107]	[103]	-	-	-	[108]	[83,109,110]	[85]	[35]	[106,111]
5	Has safety been assessed in nonhuman primates?	[112]	[113]	-	-	-	[114]	Conducted in parent vaccine SAD-B19 [113]	-	[115]	
6	Does the vaccine elicit an immune response in target animals (dogs)?	[75]	[76]	-	[78]	-	[81,116]	[67,84,105]	-	[47]	[60,61,106]
7	Have virulent challenge studies been conducted to assess duration of immunity?	[117,118]	Foxes [119]	-	[78]		[116,120]	Foxes [121]	Foxes and raccoon dogs [85]	[35,122]	[106]
8	Does the vaccine replicate in host tissues and is replicating virus excreted from animals?	-	[103]	-	-	-	[104]	[36]	-	[123]	[106,124]
9	Is the bait composition attractive to the target animal, and does it convey delivery of the vaccine to the target host-anatomy?	-	-	-	-	-	[68]	[63,105]	-	-	-
10	Have bait contact rates been described for the bait distribution method you are considering?	-	-	-	-	-	-	[20,67,105]	-	-	-
11	Has the vaccine been evaluated under field conditions and are storage requirements known?	[125]	[126]	-	-	[127]	[128]	[67,105]	-	[129,130]	[131]
12	Has an economic cost-benefit assessment been conducted?	-	-	-	-	-	-	[20]	-	-	-
13	Is the product currently acknowledged by an international public health agency for field use?	[132]	-	-	-	-	-	[67,105]	-	[133]	-
14	Is the product currently licensed in any countries for field use? *	Europe	Europe	Russia	Kazakhstan	Belarus	[99] Europe	[134] Europe	Russia	Europe, USA	[102] Canada

* Licensure refers to wildlife only.

Table 2. Recommendations outlined by WHO and World Organisation for Animal Health expert committee on the suitability for field trials in dogs, and reference supporting fulfilment of that recommendation for each of the oral rabies vaccines currently used in wildlife. In addition to these criteria are five further considerations which are not listed as they are not specific to a vaccine. These are as follows: "Is the community supportive of oral rabies vaccination of dogs?", "Can the responsible authority conduct postvaccination monitoring for persons potentially exposed to the vaccine?", "Can the responsible authority conduct postvaccination monitoring for vaccinated dogs?", "Is there an effective postexposure prophylaxis for humans exposed to the oral rabies vaccine?", "Can the responsible health authority provide postexposure prophylaxis for persons potentially exposed to the vaccine?".

Yale, Gowri, Marwin Lopes, Shrikrishna Isloor, Jennifer R. Head, Stella Mazeri, Luke Gamble, Kinzang Dukpa, Gyanendra Gongal, and Andrew D. Gibson. "Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India." *Viruses* 14, no. 1 (2022): 155.

🏶 viruses



Review

Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India

Gowri Yale ^{1,*}^(D), Marwin Lopes ², Shrikrishna Isloor ³, Jennifer R. Head ⁴^(D), Stella Mazeri ^{5,6}^(D), Luke Gamble ⁶, Kinzang Dukpa ⁷, Gyanendra Gongal ⁸^(D) and Andrew D. Gibson ^{5,6}^(D)

- ¹ Mission Rabies, Panjim 403002, India
- ² Department of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services, Government of Goa, Panjim 403001, India; marwinlopes@gmail.com
- ³ Bangalore Veterinary College, Hebbal, Bengaluru 560024, Karnataka, India; kisloor@gmail.com
- ⁴ Division of Epidemiology, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA; jennifer_head@berkeley.edu
- ⁵ The Roslin Institute, The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, The University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, Midlothian, Roslin EH25 9RG, UK; stella.mazeri@roslin.ed.ac.uk (S.M.); andy@missionrabies.com (A.D.G.)
- ⁶ Mission Rabies, Dorset, Cranborne BH21 5PZ, UK; Luke@missionrabies.com
- ⁷ World Organisation for Animal Health (OIE), Regional Representation for Asia and the Pacific, Tokyo 113-8657, Japan; k.dukpa@oie.int
- ⁸ World Health Organization (WHO), Regional Office for South East Asia, New Delhi 110002, India; gongalg@who.int
- Correspondence: gowri@missionrabies.com



Citation: Yale, G.; Lopes, M.; Isloor, S.; Head, J.R.; Mazeri, S.; Gamble, L.; Dukpa, K.; Gongal, G.; Gibson, A.D. Review of Oral Rabies Vaccination of Dogs and Its Application in India. *Viruses* 2022, 14, 155. https://doi.org/10.3390/ v14010155

Academic Editors: Amy T. Gilbert, Charles E. Rupprecht and Ryan M. Wallace

Received: 25 November 2021 Accepted: 3 January 2022 Published: 14 January 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations. Abstract: Oral rabies vaccines (ORVs) have been in use to successfully control rabies in wildlife since 1978 across Europe and the USA. This review focuses on the potential and need for the use of ORVs in free-roaming dogs to control dog-transmitted rabies in India. Iterative work to improve ORVs over the past four decades has resulted in vaccines that have high safety profiles whilst generating a consistent protective immune response to the rabies virus. The available evidence for safety and efficacy of modern ORVs in dogs and the broad and outspoken support from prominent global public health institutions for their use provides confidence to national authorities considering their use in rabies-endemic regions. India is estimated to have the largest rabies burden of any country and, whilst considerable progress has been made to increase access to human rabies prophylaxis, examples of high-output mass dog vaccination campaigns to eliminate the virus at the source remain limited. Efficiently accessing a large proportion of the dog population through parenteral methods is a considerable challenge due to the large, evasive stray dog population in many settings. Existing parenteral approaches require large skilled dog-catching teams to reach these dogs, which present financial, operational and logistical limitations to achieve 70% dog vaccination coverage in urban settings in a short duration. ORV presents the potential to accelerate the development of approaches to eliminate rabies across large areas of the South Asia region. Here we review the use of ORVs in wildlife and dogs, with specific consideration of the India setting. We also present the results of a risk analysis for a hypothetical campaign using ORV for the vaccination of dogs in an Indian state.

Keywords: oral rabies vaccine; free roaming dogs; dog mediated human rabies; canine rabies control

Which bait?

GA 03 P 7016

Married Woman

WYNAMACH

7

ACREA FOR ME



Figure 2. Illustration of components of an example ORV bait construct for use in dogs. The dotted circle shows a cut-away to reveal the impermeable sachet containing vaccine suspension within bait casing. Information is generally either printed directly on the bait casing or as a protruding label.

ACCEPTANCE CULTURE

PRODUCTION













































Article



Development of a Non-Meat-Based, Mass Producible and Effective Bait for Oral Vaccination of Dogs

against Rabies in Goa State, India

Andrew D. Gibson ^{1,2,*}¹, Stella Mazeri ^{1,2}, Gowri Yale ³, Santosh Desai ⁴, Vilas Naik ⁴, Julie Corfmat ³, Steffen Ortmann ^{5,†}, Alasdair King ⁶, Thomas Müller ⁷, Ian Handel ², Berend MdeC. Bronsvoort ², Luke Gamble ¹, Richard J. Mellanby ² and Ad Vos ^{5,‡}

- ¹ Mission Rabies, Cranborne, Dorset BH21 5PZ, UK
- ² The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies and the Roslin Institute, Easter Bush Campus, The University of Edinburgh, Roslin, Midlothian EH25 9RG, UK
- ³ Mission Rabies, Tonca, Miramar, Panjim, Goa 403002, India
- ⁴ Department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services, Government of Goa, Panjim, Goa 403001, India
- ³ IDT Biologika GmbH, 06861 Dessau, Rosslau, Germany
- ⁶ Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ 07940, USA
- ⁷ Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Federal Research Institute for Animal Health, WHO Collaborating Centre for Rabies Surveillance and Research, 17493, Greifswald, Insel Riems, Germany
- Correspondence: andy@missionrabies.com
- † Currently affiliated to Ceva Innovation Center GmbH, 06861 Dessau-Rosslau, Germany.
- ‡ Currently affiliated to Ceva Santé Animale, 06861 Dessau-Rosslau, Germany.

Received: 26 July 2019; Accepted: 29 August 2019; Published: 4 September 2019



Abstract: Introduction: To achieve the global goal of canine-mediated human rabies elimination by 2030 there is an urgent need to scale-up mass dog vaccination activities in regions with large dog populations that are difficult to access; a common situation in much of India. Oral rabies vaccination may enable the vaccination of free-roaming dogs that are inaccessible to parenteral vaccination, and is considered a promising complementary measure to parenteral mass dog vaccination campaigns. WHO and OIE have published detailed minimum requirements for rabies vaccines and baits to be used for this purpose, requiring that baits must not only be well-accepted by the target population but must also efficiently release the vaccine in the oral cavity. For oral rabies vaccination approaches to be successful, it is necessary to develop baits which have a high uptake by the target population, are culturally accepted and amenable to mass production. The aim of this study was to compare the interest and uptake rates of meat-based and an egg-based prototype bait constructs by free roaming dogs in Goa, India. Methods: Three teams randomly distributed two prototype baits; an egg-flavoured bait and a commercial meat dog food (gravy) flavoured bait. The outcomes of consumption were recorded and compared between baits and dog variables. Results: A total of 209 egg-bait and 195 gravy-bait distributions were recorded and analysed. No difference (p = 0.99) was found in the percentage of dogs interested in the baits when offered. However, significantly more dogs consumed



Cost effective?











Fig. 2. Flow diagram for action taken for sighted dogs in each intervention arm. CVR = Catch-vaccinate-release, DD = Door-to-door, OBH = oral bait handout. Dogs which were reported by the owner as already vaccinated or refused vaccination were not included in the counts or analysis for either group.

A.D. Gibson et al./Vaccine: X xxx (xxxx) xxx



Fig. 4. (A) Graph showing a breakdown of mean Fixed and Variable (oral/parenteral) cost per dog vaccinated based on the mean number of dogs vaccinated per team per day in each method. (B) Bar graph of cost per dog vaccinated by land type and method in USD.

Cost Benefits of ORV:

- 1) Increased Operational Efficiency
- 2) Increased Vaccination Coverage

+ 20% coverage

50% coverage by parenteral vaccination





Vaccine: X 1 (2019) 100015



Oral bait handout as a method to access roaming dogs for rabies vaccination in Goa, India: A proof of principle study



A.D. Gibson^{a,b}, G. Yale^c, A. Vos^d, J. Corfmat^c, I. Airikkala-Otter^e, A. King^f, R.M. Wallace⁸, L. Gamble^a, I.G. Handel^b, R.J. Mellanby^h, B.M. de C. Bronsvoort^{b,+}, S. Mazeri^{b,+}

* Mission Rabies, Cranborne, Dorset, United Kingdom

^b The Raslin Institute and The Rayal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, The University of Edinburgh, Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, Roslin, Midlothian, United Kingdom ^a Mission Rabies, Tonca, Panjim, Goa, India

⁴ IDT Biologika GmbH, Dessau - Rosslau, Germany

* Worldwide Veterinary Service, Ooty, Tamil Nadu, India

Merck Animal Health, Madison, NJ, USA

* Posvirus and Rabies Branch, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA

^b The Royal (Dick) School of Veterinary Studies, Division of Veterinary Clinical Studies, The University of Edinburgh, Hospital for Small Animals, Easter Bush Veterinary Centre, Roslin, Midlethian, United Kingdom

ARTICLE INFO

ABSTRACT

Article history:

Received 27 November 2018 Received in revised form 12 February 2019 Accepted 17 February 2019 Available online 1 March 2019

Keywords:

Rabies Virus Dog Vaccination Mass dog vaccination Oral rabies vaccine Rabies has profound public health, social and economic impacts on developing countries, with an estimated 59,000 annual human rabies deaths globally. Mass dog vaccination is effective at eliminating the disease but remains challenging to achieve in India due to the high proportion of roaming dogs that cannot be readily handled for parenteral vaccination.

Two methods for the vaccination of dogs that could not be handled for injection were compared in Goa, India; the oral bait handout (OBH) method, where teams of two travelled by scooter offering dogs an empty oral bait construct, and the catch-vaccinate-release (CVR) method, where teams of seven travel by supply vehicle and use nets to catch dogs for parenteral vaccination. Both groups parenterally vaccinated any dogs that could be held for vaccination.

The OBH method was more efficient on human resources, accessing 35 dogs per person per day, compared to 9 dogs per person per day through CVR. OBH accessed 80% of sighted dogs, compared to 63% by CVR teams, with OBH accessing a significantly higher proportion of inaccessible dogs in all land types. All staff reported that they believed OBH would be more successful in accessing dogs for vaccination. Fixed operational team cost of CVR was four times higher than OBH, at 127 USD per day, compared to 34 USD per day. Mean per dog vaccination cost of CVR was 2.53 USD, whilst OBH was 2.29 USD. Extrapolation to a two week India national campaign estimated that 1.1 million staff would be required using CVR, but 293,000 staff would be needed for OBH.

OBH was operationally feasible, economical and effective at accessing the free roaming dog population. This study provides evidence for the continued expansion of research into the use of OBH as a supplementary activity to parenteral mass dog vaccination activities in India.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Answers:



Which vaccine? SPBN GASGAS



Which bait? Egg

Cost effective? Yes

The Team



Govt Goa

- Dr. Mesquita •
- Dr Marwin Lopez
- Dr Utkash Betodkar
- Dr Vilas Naik
- Dr Niceta D'Costa .

MR/WVS

- Luke Gamble ٠
- Nigel Otter
- Andy Gibson
- Frederic Lohr ٠
- Gareth Thomas
- Julie Corfmat
- Murugan Apuppillai
- Praveen Mathapati
- Gowri Yale
- Praveen Ohal
- Namitha Nair ٠

Uni of Edinburgh

- **Prof Richard Mellanby** ٠
- Prof Mark Bronsvoort
- Dr Ian Handel
- Dr Stella Mazeri















Collaborators

٠

- Dr Alasdair King (MerckAnimal Health) ٠
- Mr David Sutton (MSD Animal Health) ٠
- Dr Ryan Wallace (CDC) ٠
- Dr Ad Vos (CEVA) ٠
 - Dr. Srikrishna Isloor (Bangalore Veterinary College)







